

INNER PRODUCT SPACES AND KREIN SPACES IN THE QUATERNIONIC SETTING

DANIEL ALPAY, VLADIMIR BOLOTNIKOV, FABRIZIO COLOMBO, AND IRENE SABADINI

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quaternionic topological vector spaces	3
3. Principles of Quaternionic Functional Analysis	5
4. Ortho-complemented spaces	8
5. Fundamental decompositions	11
6. Partial majorants	13
7. Majorant topologies and inner product spaces	16
8. The spectral theorem and decomposability	20
9. Quaternionic Krein spaces	23
References	26

ABSTRACT. In this paper we provide a study of quaternionic inner product spaces. This includes ortho-complemented subspaces, fundamental decompositions as well as a number of results of topological nature. Our main purpose is to show that a uniformly positive subspace in a quaternionic Krein space is ortho-complemented, and this leads to our choice of the results presented in the paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to study quaternionic inner product spaces and, in particular, Krein spaces. Quaternionic Hilbert spaces are known for a long time, see for instance [12], and [1, 18, 17] for various applications to quantum mechanics. Some aspects of the theory of quaternionic Pontryagin spaces have been studied in [4]. The finite dimensional case is also of particular interest; see e.g., [20, 28, 3, 21, 22, 25]. Krein spaces are, roughly speaking, the direct sum of two in general *infinite dimensional* Hilbert spaces, and in particular the previous references do not treat this case. While preparing the work [2] on interpolation of Schur multipliers in the case of vector-valued slice-hyperholomorphic functions, we realized that no reference seemed to be available for a number of important results on quaternionic Krein spaces. The motivation of the present paper was to fill part of this gap. In the process, we found that we needed to

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* MSC: 47S10, 30G35, 46C20.

Key words and phrases. Inner product spaces, quaternionic topological vector spaces, Krein spaces, quaternionic functional analysis.

Acknowledgments: D. Alpay thanks the Earl Katz family for endowing the chair which supported his research, and the Binational Science Foundation Grant number 2010117. Vladimir Bolotnikov, Fabrizio Colombo and Irene Sabadini acknowledge the Center for Advanced Studies of the Mathematical Department of the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev for the support and the kind hospitality during the period in which part of this paper has been written.

prove a number of functional analysis results in the quaternionic setting.

In the complex case, the starting point is a complex vector space $\mathcal{V}$, endowed with a sesquilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot]$. The pair $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called an indefinite inner product space, and a number of important concepts are associated to such a pair, some algebraic and some topological. The combination of both is a main feature of the general theory developed in [10]. The form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defines an orthogonality: two vectors $v, w \in \mathcal{V}$ are orthogonal if $[v, w] = 0$, and two linear subspaces $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ of $\mathcal{V}$ are orthogonal if every vector of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is orthogonal to every vector of $\mathcal{V}_2$. Orthogonal sums will be denoted by the symbol $[+]$. Note that two orthogonal spaces may intersect. We will denote by the symbol $[\oplus]$ a direct orthogonal sum. A complex vector space $\mathcal{V}$ is a Krein space if it can be written (in general in a non-unique way) as $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-$, where $(\mathcal{V}_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $(\mathcal{V}_-, -[\cdot, \cdot])$ are Hilbert spaces. When the $\mathcal{V}_-$ (or, as in [19], the space $\mathcal{V}_+$) is finite dimensional, $\mathcal{V}$ is called a Pontryagin space.

Krein spaces were introduced by Krein and later and independently by L. Schwartz in [24] where they were called "Hermitian spaces"; for historical remarks, we refer to [4, pp. 207-209]. Besides the book of Bognar [10], on which is based this work, we refer to [5, 15] for the theory of Krein spaces and of their operators, and to [19] for the case of Pontryagin spaces. Among other topics, Krein spaces appear in a natural way in the theory of interpolation for operator-valued Schur functions (see e.g., [9, 8, 7, 6]). The motivation for the present work came in particular from the desire to extend interpolation theory for operator-valued Schur functions to the case of slice-hyperholomorphic functions, see the forthcoming work [2], as we now explain. Let $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be two Hilbert spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ the class of $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ -valued functions analytic and contractive in the open unit disk. To define the left-interpolation problem in this class we need a third Hilbert space, say $\mathcal{X}$, and two operators $A \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{X})$ and $C \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$. We assume that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{*n} C^* C A^n$ converges in the strong operator topology. The interpolation problem at hand is to find all (if any) functions $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^{*k} C^* S_k = N^*,$$

where the $S_k \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ are the coefficients of the power expansion of S at the origin and $N \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ is given. Krein spaces appear as follows in the solution of this problem. Let P be the solution of the Stein equation

$$P - A^* P A = (C^* \ N^*) J \begin{pmatrix} C \\ N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{Y}} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and assume P positive and boundedly invertible. We endow the space $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{U}$ with the indefinite metric defined by

$$\tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}.$$

A key result in the arguments is that the space

$$\mathcal{K}_0 := \text{Ran} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \\ N \end{pmatrix}$$

is a uniformly positive subspace of $\mathcal{K}$. This is a special case of the fact that a uniformly positive subspace in a Krein space is ortho-complemented. In [10] the proof of this fact requires a long chain of preliminary results, and we are not aware of any shortcut proof. As we previously remarked, the main purpose of this paper is to prove the counterpart of this fact in the quaternionic setting. To this end, we first need to prove some algebraic as well as topological results for quaternionic vector spaces which are of independent interest. The complex version of these results can be found in [10], [16], [23] (we will give more precise references where appropriate). In most cases, the proofs are not substantially different from the proofs of the corresponding results in the complex case. However, since we are not aware of any reference in which these results are explicitly proven in the quaternionic setting, we repeat them here.

The paper consists of nine sections besides the introduction, and its outline is as follows: Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to quaternionic topological vector spaces and to some basic functional analysis theorems in a quaternionic setting. These sections set the framework for the following sections, where one considers quaternionic vector spaces endowed with a possibly degenerate and non positive inner product. The algebraic aspects of such spaces are studied in Sections 4, 5 and 6. The notion of fundamental decomposition is studied in detail and plays a key role in the paper in the later sections. The topologies associated to an inner product (called *majorants*) are studied in Sections 7, 8 and 8. Finally, some aspects of Krein spaces are studied in Section 10.

2. QUATERNIONIC TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

In this paper $\mathbb{H}$ denotes the algebra of real quaternions. We send to [12, Chapter I] and to [4, p. 446] for the basic definitions of a vector space over $\mathbb{H}$. In this paper we will treat the case of right quaternionic vector spaces. The case of left quaternionic vector spaces may be treated in an analogous way. It is also useful to recall that if $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are two right quaternionic vector spaces, the operator $A : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is right linear if

$$A(v_1q_1 + v_2q_2) = (Av_1)q_1 + (Av_2)q_2, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{H}.$$

For future reference we single out the following result, which is true for the case of vector spaces over any field or skew field. The claims are [11, Théorème 1 and Proposition 4, Ch. 2, §7] respectively.

Theorem 2.1. (a) *Every right quaternionic vector space has a basis.*
(b) *Every (right) linear subspace of a quaternionic vector space has a direct complement.*

We also recall the following: If $\mathcal{V}$ is a right quaternionic vector space and $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}$ is a (right) linear subspace of $\mathcal{V}$, the quotient space $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_1$ endowed with

$$(v + \mathcal{V}_1)q = vq + \mathcal{V}_1$$

is also a right quaternionic vector space. Here $v + \mathcal{V}_1$ denotes the equivalence class in the quotient space $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_1$ of $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$.

Given a right quaternionic vector space $\mathcal{V}$, a semi-norm is defined (as in the complex case) as a map $p : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$p(v_1 + v_2) \leq p(v_1) + p(v_2), \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$p(vc) = |c|p(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (2.2)$$

Remark 2.2. Note that (2.2) implies that $p(0) = 0$ and (2.1) implies

$$0 = p(v - v) \leq 2p(v),$$

so that a semi-norm has values in $\mathbb{R}^+$.

As it is well known, see [12, Ch. II, §1], given a vector space over a non discrete valued division ring it is possible to introduce the notion of semi-norm. We observe that one can give the notion of semi-norm in the framework of modules over a Clifford algebra, see [13]. However, in that case, (2.2) is required only when $c \in \mathbb{R}$ while in general it has to be replaced by the weaker condition $p(vc) \leq C|c|p(v)$, where C is a suitable constant.

The notion of semi-norm gives rise to a topology on V which (at least in the cases of complex or real vector spaces) leads to the so-called locally convex spaces.

Let p be a semi-norm and set

$$U_{v_0}(p, \alpha) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid p(v - v_0) < \alpha\}.$$

A family $\{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of semi-norms on $\mathcal{V}$ defines a topology on $\mathcal{V}$, in which a subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ is open if and only if for every $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ there are $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $w \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n U_{v_0}(p_{\gamma_j}, \varepsilon)$ then $w \in U$.

Remark 2.3. All the spaces considered here will be right linear, and in general we will use the terminology *quaternionic vector space* rather than *right quaternionic vector space*. Similarly we will speak of linear operators rather than right linear operators.

The quaternionic vector space $\mathcal{V}$ is also a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and it is immediate to verify using (2.1) and (2.2) that when it is endowed with the topology induced by a family of semi-norms, it is a locally convex space i.e. there is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 which are convex sets. Thus, the topology induced by the family of semi-norms is a locally convex topology.

Definition 2.4. A set U in a topological quaternionic vector space $\mathcal{V}$ is called *balanced* if $vc \in U$, whenever $v \in U$ and $c \in \mathbb{H}$ with $|c| \leq 1$. A set $U \in \mathcal{V}$ is said to be *absorbing* if for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $c > 0$ such that $vc^{-1} \in U$.

Proposition 2.5. Let p be a semi-norm on a quaternionic vector space $\mathcal{V}$, let $\alpha > 0$. Then the set $U_0(p, \alpha) = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid p(v) < \alpha\}$ is balanced and absorbing.

Proof. By (2.2), if $v \in U_0(p, \alpha)$ and $|c| \leq 1$ then $p(vc) = |c|p(v) < \alpha$ so $U_0(p, \alpha)$ is balanced. Similarly one proves that $U_0(p, \alpha)$ is absorbing. $\square$

We recall the definition of the Minkowski functional p_U of the convex, balanced and absorbing set U :

$$p_U(v) = \inf A_v \quad \text{where} \quad A_v = \{a > 0 : va^{-1} \in U\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic vector space, and let U be a convex, balanced, absorbing set containing 0. Then the Minkowski functional $p_U(v)$ is a semi-norm on $\mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ and $c \in A_{v_1}$, $d \in A_{v_2}$. Then $v_1c^{-1} + v_2d^{-1} \in U$ or, equivalently, $v_1 + v_2 \in cU + dU = (c+d)U$ since U is convex. Thus $c+d \in A_{v_1+v_2}$ and $p_U(v_1+v_2) \leq c+d$, from which we conclude (2.1) since c and d are arbitrary.

To prove (2.2), we begin by considering $\lambda > 0$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Take any $c \in A_v$, then we have $vc^{-1} \in U$ and $v\lambda(\lambda c)^{-1} \in U$ and so $\lambda c \in A_{v\lambda}$ and then $p_U(v\lambda) \leq \lambda c$. By the arbitrariness of c it follows that $p_U(v\lambda) \leq \lambda p_U(v)$. By replacing v by $v\lambda$ and λ by λ^{-1} we obtain $p_U(v) \leq \lambda^{-1}p_U(v\lambda)$ or, equivalently, $\lambda p_U(v) \leq p_U(v\lambda)$. Thus $\lambda p_U(v) = p_U(v\lambda)$. If we consider $\lambda = 0$ then (2.2) is trivial since $p_U(0) = 0$ by definition (2.3). Thus we assume now that $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $c \in A_v$. Since U is balanced, then $vc^{-1} \in U$ and also $v\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|}c^{-1} \in U$ and so $p_U(v\lambda) \leq |\lambda|c$. Since c is arbitrary, we have $p_U(v\lambda) \leq |\lambda|p_U(v)$. The reverse inequality is obtained by replacing v by $v\lambda$ and λ by λ^{-1} . The statement follows. $\square$

Proposition 2.7. *Every topological quaternionic vector space is locally convex if and only if the topology is defined by a family of semi-norms.*

Proof. The "if" part of the statement has already been discussed. The converse follows from the fact that a convex, balanced, absorbing neighborhood U of 0 is associated to the Minkowski functional p_U and that $U = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid p(v) < 1\}$. $\square$

We conclude this section by mentioning that the family of seminorms $\{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ satisfies the axiom of separation if for any $v \neq 0$ there exists at least one semi-norm p_γ such that $p_\gamma(v) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}$ is a Hausdorff space if and only if the condition $p_\gamma(v) = 0$ implies $v = 0$.

3. PRINCIPLES OF QUATERNIONIC FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

The material in this section is classical for complex Fréchet spaces and can be found e.g. in [16, Chapter II] or [23, Chapter 2].

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic Fréchet space, that is a locally convex topological vector space which is metrizable and complete, and let ρ be an associated metric. For the sake of simplicity, in the sequel we will write $|u - w|$ instead of $\rho(u, w)$.

We now prove a result for continuous (not necessarily linear) maps which implies the principle of uniform boundedness.

Theorem 3.1. *For each $a \in A$, where A is a set, let S_a be a continuous map of a quaternionic Fréchet space $\mathcal{V}$ into a quaternionic Fréchet space $\mathcal{W}$, which satisfies the following properties*

- (a) $|S_a(u + w)| \leq |S_a(u)| + |S_a(w)|$, $\forall u, w \in \mathcal{V}$,
- (b) $|S_a(w\alpha)| = |S_a(w)\alpha|$, $\forall w \in \mathcal{V}$, $\forall \alpha \geq 0$.

If, for each $u \in \mathcal{V}$, the set $\{S_a v\}_{a \in A}$ is bounded, then $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} S_a v = 0$ uniformly in $a \in A$.

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$, $a \in A$ and a positive integer k , the set

$$\mathcal{V}_k \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \left\{ u \in \mathcal{V} : \left| \frac{1}{k} S_a(u) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{k} S_a(-u) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$

is closed since S_a are continuous. Moreover, by assumption, the sets $\{S_a v\}_{a \in A}$ are bounded, so

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_k.$$

By the Baire category theorem, there exists a $\mathcal{V}_{k_0}$ that contains a ball $B(v_0, \delta)$ with center at v_0 and radius $\delta > 0$. Let $|u| < \delta$. Then v_0 and $v_0 + u$ both belong to $B(v_0, \delta)$ and so they both are in $\mathcal{V}_{k_0}$. Thus we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{k_0} S_a(v_0 + u) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{1}{k_0} S_a(-v_0) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Using assumption (a) we deduce

$$\left| \frac{1}{k_0} S_a(u) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{k_0} S_a(v_0 + u) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{k_0} S_a(-v_0) \right|,$$

and using assumption (b) we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{k_0} S_a(u) \right| = \left| S_a \left(\frac{1}{k_0} u \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |u| < \delta, \quad a \in A.$$

Now observe that the mapping $v \mapsto v/k_0$ is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{V}$ into itself since $\mathcal{V}$ is a topological vector space and thus the multiplication by a quaternionic scalar (in particular, real) is continuous. Thus $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} S_a v = 0$ uniformly in $a \in A$. $\square$

In the case of linear maps, Theorem 3.1 gives the following result which will be used in the proofs of Theorem 6.11 and Proposition 8.5 below.

Theorem 3.2 (Principle of uniform boundedness). *For each $a \in A$, where A is a set, let T_a be continuous linear map of a quaternionic Fréchet space $\mathcal{V}$ into a quaternionic Fréchet space $\mathcal{W}$. If, for each $u \in \mathcal{V}$, the set $\{T_a v\}_{a \in A}$ is bounded, then $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} T_a v = 0$ uniformly in $a \in A$.*

For further reference, we repeat also the formulation of the Principle of uniform boundedness for quaternionic Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be two quaternionic Banach spaces and let $\{T_a\}_{a \in A}$ be bounded linear maps from $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathcal{W}$. Suppose that $\sup_{a \in A} \|T_a v\| < \infty$ for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then*

$$\sup_{a \in A} \|T_a\| < \infty.$$

The next result is the quaternionic counterpart of the open mapping theorem:

Theorem 3.4 (The open mapping theorem). *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be two quaternionic Fréchet spaces, and let T be a linear continuous quaternionic map from $\mathcal{V}$ onto $\mathcal{W}$. Then the image of every open set is open.*

Proof. Let $B_{\mathcal{V}}(r) \subset \mathcal{V}$ denote the open ball of radius $r > 0$ and centered at the origin and let $B_{\mathcal{V}}(r) - B_{\mathcal{V}}(r)$ be the set of elements of the form $u - v$ where $u, v \in B_{\mathcal{V}}(r)$. Since the function $u - v$ is continuous in u and v , there exists a ball $B_{\mathcal{V}}(r')$, for suitable $r' > 0$, such that $B_{\mathcal{V}}(r') - B_{\mathcal{V}}(r') \subseteq B_{\mathcal{V}}(r)$. For every $v \in \mathcal{V}$ we have that $v/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ so $v \in nB_{\mathcal{V}}(r')$ for a suitable $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nB_{\mathcal{V}}(r') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W} = T\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nTB_{\mathcal{V}}(r').$$

By the Baire category theorem one of the closures $\overline{nTB_{\mathcal{V}}(r')}$ contains a non empty open set. The map $w \mapsto nw$ is a homeomorphism in $\mathcal{W}$ and $\overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r')}$ contains a non empty open set denoted by $\mathcal{B}$, so

$$\overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r)} \supseteq \overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r') - TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r')} \supseteq \overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r')} - \overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r')} \supseteq \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}. \quad (3.1)$$

The map $w \mapsto u - w$ is a homeomorphism and hence the set $u - B_{\mathcal{V}}(r)$ is open. Since the set $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{B}} (u - \mathcal{B})$ is open (as the union of open sets) and contains the origin, we conclude from (3.1) that $\overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(r)}$ contains a neighborhood of the origin.

Fix an arbitrary ε_0 and let $\varepsilon_\ell > 0$ be a sequence such that $\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_\ell < \varepsilon_0$. Then there exists a sequence $\theta_\ell > 0$ with $\theta_\ell \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon_\ell)} \supset B_{\mathcal{W}}(\theta_\ell), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

We now take an arbitrary $w \in B_{\mathcal{W}}(\theta_0)$ and show that $w = Tv$ for some $v \in B_{\mathcal{V}}(2\varepsilon_0)$. To this purpose we follow a recursive procedure. From (3.2) for $\ell = 0$ there exists $v_0 \in B_{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon_0)$ such that $|w - Tv_0| < \theta_1$. Since $w - Tv_0 \in B_{\mathcal{W}}(\theta_1)$, then $w - Tv_0 \in \overline{TB_{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon_1)}$ and again from (3.2) with $\ell = 1$, there exists $v_1 \in B_{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon_1)$ such that $|w - Tv_0 - Tv_1| < \theta_2$. Iterating this procedure, we construct a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $v_n \in B_{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon_n)$ and

$$|w - T \sum_{\ell=0}^n v_\ell| < \theta_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let us denote $p_m = \sum_{\ell=0}^m v_\ell$. The sequence $\{p_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence since

$$|p_m - p_n| = |v_{n+1} + \dots + v_m| < \varepsilon_{n+1} + \dots + \varepsilon_m \quad \text{for } m > n.$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} v_\ell$ converges to a point $v \in \mathcal{V}$ with $|v| \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_\ell = 2\varepsilon_0$. Since T is continuous, we conclude from (3.3) that $w = Tv$. We thus showed that an arbitrary ball $B_{\mathcal{V}}(2\varepsilon_0)$ is mapped onto the set $TB_{\mathcal{V}}(2\varepsilon_0)$ which contains the ball $B_{\mathcal{W}}(\theta_0)$. So if $\mathcal{N}$ is a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathcal{V}$ then $T\mathcal{N}$ contains a neighborhood of the origin of $\mathcal{W}$. Since T is linear then the above procedure works for every neighborhood of every point. $\square$

Theorem 3.5 (The Banach continuous inverse theorem). *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be two quaternionic Fréchet spaces and let $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be a one-to-one linear continuous quaternionic map. Then T has a linear continuous inverse.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 T maps open sets onto open sets, so if we write T as $(T^{-1})^{-1}$, it is immediate that T^{-1} is continuous. Now take $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $Tv_1 = w_1, Tv_2 = w_2$ and $p \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$T(v_1 + v_2) = Tv_1 + Tv_2 = w_1 + w_2, \quad T(v_1 p) = T(v_1)p = w_1 p$$

and hence

$$T^{-1}(w_1 + w_2) = v_1 + v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}(w_1 p) = v_1 p,$$

so T^{-1} is linear quaternionic operator. $\square$

Definition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be two quaternionic Fréchet spaces. Suppose that T is a quaternionic operator whose domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is a linear manifold contained in $\mathcal{V}$ and whose range belongs to $\mathcal{W}$. The graph of T consists of all point (v, Tv) , with $v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, in the product space $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$.*

Definition 3.7. *We say that T is a closed operator if its graph is closed in $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$.*

Remark 3.8. Equivalently we can say that T is closed if $v_n \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, $v_n \rightarrow v$, $Tv_n \rightarrow y$ imply that $v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $Tv = y$.

The following theorem can be found also in [12, Corollaire 5, p. I.19].

Theorem 3.9 (The closed graph theorem). *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be two quaternionic Fréchet spaces. Let $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ be a linear closed quaternionic operator. Then T is continuous.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are two quaternionic Fréchet spaces we have that $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ with the distance $|(v, w)|_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}} = |v|_{\mathcal{V}} + |w|_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a quaternionic Fréchet space. The graph of T denoted by $\mathcal{G}(T) = \{(v, Tv), v \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}$ is a closed linear manifold in the product space $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ so it is a quaternionic Fréchet space. The projection

$$P_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{G}(T) \mapsto \mathcal{V}, \quad P_{\mathcal{V}}(v, Tv) = v$$

is one-to-one and onto, linear and continuous so by Theorem 3.5 its inverse $P_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}$ is continuous. Now consider the projection

$$P_{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{G}(T) \mapsto \mathcal{W}, \quad P_{\mathcal{W}}(v, Tv) = Tv,$$

observing that $T = P_{\mathcal{W}} P_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}$ we get the statement. $\square$

4. ORTHO-COMPLEMENTED SPACES

From this section we focus on quaternionic vector spaces endowed with an inner product, defined as follows:

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic vector space. The map*

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

is called an inner product if it is a (right) sesquilinear form:

$$[v_1 c_1, v_2 c_2] = \overline{c_2} [v_1, v_2] c_1, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}, \text{ and } c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{H},$$

and Hermitian:

$$[v, w] = \overline{[w, v]}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

We will call the pair $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ (or the space $\mathcal{V}$ for short when the form is understood from the context) a (right) quaternionic indefinite inner product space. The form is called positive (or non-negative) if $[v, v] \geq 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$.

Remark 4.2. Note that Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds for positive inner product spaces; see [10, Lemma 2.2, p. 5] for the classical case and [4, Lemma 5.6 and Remark 5.7, p. 447] and the references therein for the quaternionic case. Multiplying the inner product by -1 , we see that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds in inner product spaces for which the inner product is negative. We will call definite an inner product space which is either positive or negative.

The definitions on indefinite product spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ reviewed in the introduction carry over when one considers the quaternions. In particular, two elements v and w in $\mathcal{V}$ will be called orthogonal if $[v, w] = 0$, and two vector subspaces $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ of $\mathcal{V}$ are orthogonal if every element of $\mathcal{V}_1$ is orthogonal to every element of $\mathcal{V}_2$. Two orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ may have a non trivial intersection. When their intersection reduces to the zero vector we denote by $\mathcal{V}_1[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_2$ their direct orthogonal sum.

For $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{V}$ we set

$$\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : [v, w] = 0, \forall w \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

The definition makes sense even when $\mathcal{L}$ is not a linear space, but a mere subset of $\mathcal{V}$, and the set $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is always a linear space. It is called the *orthogonal companion* of $\mathcal{L}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{L} \subset (\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]})^{[\perp]} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}. \quad (4.1)$$

The linear subspace is called non-degenerate if its *isotropic part* $\mathcal{L}^0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is trivial.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic inner product space, and let $\mathcal{V}^0$ be its isotropic part. The formula*

$$[v + \mathcal{V}^0, w + \mathcal{V}^0]_q \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [v, w] \quad (4.2)$$

defines a non-degenerate indefinite inner product on $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0$.

Proof. It suffices to note that formula (4.2) is well defined (that is, does not depend on the specific choice of v and w). $\square$

We now move to ortho-complemented spaces. Following [10, p. 18] we say that the space $\mathcal{L}$ is *ortho-complemented* if $\mathcal{V}$ is spanned by $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$. As explained in the introduction, the motivation for the present work was to prove in the quaternionic setting that a uniformly positive and complete subspace of a quaternionic Krein space is ortho-complemented (the definitions of these various notions appear in the sequel and the result itself is Theorem 9.11 below). In this section we will prove some results in the quaternionic setting whose counterparts for complex vector spaces can be found in [10, Chapter I]. We begin by stating the following direct consequence of (4.1).

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic vector space, and let $\mathcal{L}$ denote a linear subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ which is ortho-complemented. Then, $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is also ortho-complemented.*

A linear subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{V}$ is called positive if $[m, m] \geq 0$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$. It is called strictly positive if the inequality is strict for all $m \neq 0$. Similar definitions hold for negative and strictly negative subspaces. A linear subspace will be called definite if it is either positive or negative, and indefinite otherwise. It will be called neutral if

$[m, m] = 0$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$.

In the statement of the following proposition, the existence of a direct complement is insured by Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote a quaternionic inner product space, and let $\mathcal{V}^0$ be its isotropic part. Let $\mathcal{V}_1$ be a direct complement of $\mathcal{V}^0$. Then $\mathcal{V}_1$ is non-degenerate and we have the direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^0 [\oplus] \mathcal{V}_1. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{V}^0 \cap \mathcal{V}_1$ such that

$$[v, v_1] = 0, \quad \forall v_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1.$$

On the other hand, by definition of the isotropic part,

$$[v, v_0] = 0, \quad \forall v_0 \in \mathcal{V}^0.$$

Since $\mathcal{V}_1$ be a direct complement of $\mathcal{V}^0$, we have $v \in \mathcal{V}^0$, and so $v = 0$ since $\mathcal{V}^0 \cap \mathcal{V}_1 = \{0\}$. The equality (4.3) follows. $\square$

If $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ are subspaces of the quaternionic vector space $\mathcal{V}$, and $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_2$, then one can define a map I from $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{V}_1$ into $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_2$ via

$$I(\ell + \mathcal{V}_1) = \ell + \mathcal{V}_2, \quad (4.4)$$

since $\ell \in \mathcal{V}_1$ implies that $\ell \in \mathcal{V}_2$. In general the map I will not be one-to-one. If $\ell + \mathcal{V}_2 = \ell' + \mathcal{V}_2$ with $\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\ell - \ell' \in \mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}$. This need not imply that $\ell - \ell' \in \mathcal{V}_1$ since we do not have in general

$$\mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{V}_1. \quad (4.5)$$

The following result is the counterpart of [10, Theorem 9.4, p. 18].

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote a quaternionic inner product space. Then the subspace $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented if and only if the following two conditions are in force:*

- (a) *The isotropic part of $\mathcal{L}$ is included in the isotropic part of $\mathcal{V}$.*
- (b) *The image under the map I (defined by (4.4)) of the quotient space $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^0$ is ortho-complemented in $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0$.*

Proof. We first assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented, that is, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}[+] \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$. The inner product (4.2) preserves orthogonality, and thus

$$\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_0 = (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{V}_0)[+](\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}/\mathcal{V}_0).$$

We now show that the map I is one-to-one and so

$$(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{V}_0) = I((\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_0)),$$

and this will conclude the proof of the direct assertion. Every v in $\mathcal{V}$ can be written as

$$v = \ell + m, \quad \ell \in \mathcal{L}, \quad m \in \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}.$$

Let now $\ell_0 \in \mathcal{L}^0$. We have

$$[\ell_0, v] = [\ell_0, \ell] + [\ell_0, m] = 0$$

and thus $\mathcal{L}^0 \subset \mathcal{V}^0$. Equation (4.5) becomes

$$\mathcal{V}^0 \cap \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^0, \quad (4.6)$$

which always holds, and by the discussion before the theorem the map I well defined and one-to-one and so (b) holds.

Conversely let us assume that (a) and (b) are in force. We prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented. Taking $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{L}^0$ and $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}^0$, (a) insures that the map I is well defined and the inclusion (4.6) holds by definition of $\mathcal{V}_0$. Thus the map I is one-to-one. Using (b) we see that for every $v \in \mathcal{V}$ there exist $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ and $m \in \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ such that

$$v + \mathcal{V}^0 = \ell + \mathcal{V}^0 + m + \mathcal{V}^0.$$

Thus we have $v = \ell + m + v_0$. This concludes the proof since $\mathcal{V}^0 \subset \mathcal{L}^0 \subset \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$. $\square$

We conclude this section with results pertaining to a non-degenerate space (that is, when $\mathcal{V}^0$ is trivial), and which are corollaries of the previous discussion.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic non-degenerate inner product space. Then:*

(a) *Every ortho-complemented subspace is non-degenerate.*

(b) *Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{V}$ be ortho-complemented. Then $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}$.*

Proof. (a) follows from part (a) in Theorem 4.6 since $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]} \subset \mathcal{V}^0 = \{0\}$. As for (b), we always have

$$\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}. \quad (4.7)$$

We assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented. Let $v \in \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}$, with decomposition

$$v = v_1 + v_2, \quad v_1 \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 \in \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}.$$

Then, in view of (4.7), $v_2 = v - v_1 \in \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}$, and so $v_2 \in \mathcal{L}^{[\perp]} \cap \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}$. Since

$$\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}[+] \mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]} = \mathcal{V}$$

(recall that $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is also ortho-complemented; see Proposition 4.4), this implies that $v_2 = 0$ since $\mathcal{V}$ is non-degenerate. Thus there is equality in (4.7). $\square$

5. FUNDAMENTAL DECOMPOSITIONS

The quaternionic inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ is decomposable if it can be written as a direct and orthogonal sum

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-[\oplus]\mathcal{N} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_+$ is a strictly positive subspace, $\mathcal{V}_-$ is a strictly negative subspace, and $\mathcal{N}$ is a neutral subspace. Representation (5.1) is called a fundamental decomposition. A quaternionic inner product space need not be decomposable, and the decomposition will not be unique (unless one of the spaces $\mathcal{V}_\pm$ is trivial). A precise characterization of the decompositions is given in the following results.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that (5.1) holds. Then $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{V}^{[\perp]}$ (that is $\mathcal{N}$ is equal to the isotropic part of $\mathcal{V}$).*

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{V}^0$. Let $m \in \mathcal{N}$, and let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ with decomposition

$$v = v_+ + v_- + n, \quad \text{where } v_{\pm} \in \mathcal{V}_{\pm}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (5.2)$$

In view of (5.1) we have $[m, v_+] = [m, v_-] = 0$. Furthermore, $[m, n] = 0$ since the inner product vanishes in a neutral subspace (this is a direct consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality). Thus $[m, v] = 0$ and so $m \in \mathcal{V}^0$.

Conversely, let $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}^0$, with decomposition (5.2). Then,

$$0 = [v, v_+] = [v_+, v_+]$$

and so $v_+ = 0$ since $\mathcal{V}_+$ is positive definite. Similarly, $v_- = 0$ and thus $v_0 = n \in \mathcal{N}$. $\square$

By the definition of non-degenerate linear space, we have this immediate consequence of the previous result:

Corollary 5.2. *All the decompositions of a decomposable, non-degenerate inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ are of the form*

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-$$

where $\mathcal{V}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_-$) is a strictly positive (resp. negative) subspace.

The following is [10, Lemma 11.4, p. 24-25] in the present setting.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic non-degenerate inner product space, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a positive definite subspace of $\mathcal{L}$. There exists a fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{V}$ with $\mathcal{V}_+ = \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is maximal positive definite and ortho-complemented.*

Proof. Assume first that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-[\oplus]\mathcal{V}^0$, where $\mathcal{V}_-$ is negative definite and $\mathcal{V}^0$ is the isotropic part of $\mathcal{V}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented. Let $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{L}$ be a positive definite subspace containing $\mathcal{L}$ and let $v \in \mathcal{M}$, with decomposition

$$v = v_+ + v_- + n, \quad v_+ \in \mathcal{L}, \quad v_- \in \mathcal{L}_-, \quad n \in \mathcal{V}^0.$$

By linearity, $v - v_+ = v_- + n \in \mathcal{M}$. But

$$[v - v_+, v - v_+] = [v_-, v_-] + [n, n] < 0,$$

unless $v_- = 0$. But then $[v - v_+, v - v_+] = 0$ implies $v = v_+$ (and so $n = 0$) since $\mathcal{M}$ is positive definite. Thus $v = v_+$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is maximal positive definite.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented, then $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}[\oplus]\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ and, since $\mathcal{L}$ is positive definite, the latter sum is direct, that is, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{L}[\oplus]\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$.

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is maximal positive definite, it follows that $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is negative. Indeed, neither $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ nor $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]} \cap \mathcal{L}$ contain positive vectors v since in the first case the space spanned by v and $\mathcal{L}$ would be positive, contradicting the maximality of $\mathcal{L}$ and in the second case we would have $[v, v] = 0$ contradicting the positivity of v . An application of Lemma 4.5 allows then to write $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ as a direct orthogonal sum of a negative definite space and of an isotropic space $\mathcal{N}$. Finally, the isotropic part $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is the isotropic part of $\mathcal{V}$. $\square$

To conclude this section we discuss some properties of linear operators between quaternionic inner product spaces. The linear operator A will be called invertible if it is one-to-one and its range is all of $\mathcal{W}$, or equivalently, if there exists a linear operator $B : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ such that $AB = I_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $BA = I_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic inner product space which is decomposable and non-degenerate, and let

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_+$ is a strictly positive subspace and $\mathcal{V}_-$ is a strictly negative subspace. The map

$$J(v) = v_+ - v_-$$

is called the associated fundamental symmetry. Since $J(Jv) = v$, it follows that J is invertible, and $J = J^{-1}$. It is readily seen that

$$[v, w] = [Jv, Jw], \quad v, w \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (5.4)$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a decomposable and non-degenerate quaternionic inner product space, and let (5.1) be a fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{V}$, and let*

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [Jv, w], \quad v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Then,

$$\langle v, w \rangle_J = [v, Jw] = [v_+, w_+] - [v_-, w_-], \quad (5.5)$$

$$[v, w] = \langle v, Jw \rangle_J = \langle Jv, w \rangle_J, \quad (5.6)$$

and $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J)$ is a pre-Hilbert space. Furthermore, with $\|v\|_J = [v, Jv]$

$$|[v, w]|^2 \leq \|v\|_J^2 \|w\|_J^2, \quad v, w \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the fact that both $\mathcal{V}_+$ and $\mathcal{V}_-$ are positive definite. In a quaternionic pre-Hilbert space, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds and this implies (5.7) since

$$|[v, w]|^2 = |\langle v, Jw \rangle_J|^2 \leq \|v\|_J^2 \|Jw\|_J^2.$$

Equations (5.5) and (5.4) imply that $\|w\|_J = \|Jw\|_J$, and this ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 5.5. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic, non-degenerate, inner product vector space admitting a fundamental decomposition of the form $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-$ and let J be the associated fundamental symmetry. Then $\mathcal{V}_+$ is J -orthogonal to $\mathcal{V}_-$, i.e. $\langle v_+, w_- \rangle_J = 0$ for every $v_+ \in \mathcal{V}_+$ and $w_- \in \mathcal{V}_-$, as one can see from formula (5.5).

6. PARTIAL MAJORANTS

We now introduce and study some special topologies called partial majorants. A standard reference for the material in this section in the complex case is [10, Chapter III]. We begin by proving a simple fact (which, in general, is not guaranteed in a vector space over any field):

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic inner product space and let $w \in \mathcal{V}$. The maps*

$$v \mapsto p_w(v) = |[v, w]|, \quad v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (6.1)$$

are semi-norms.

Proof. Property (2.1) is clear. Property (2.2) comes from the fact that the absolute value is multiplicative in $\mathbb{H}$: $p_w(vc) = |[vc, w]| = |[v, w]c| = |[v, w]| \cdot |c| = |c|p_w(v)$. $\square$

Definition 6.2. *The weak topology on $\mathcal{V}$ is the smallest topology such that all the semi-norms (6.1) are continuous.*

Definition 6.3. (1) A topology on the quaternionic indefinite inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ is called a partial majorant if it is locally convex and if all the maps

$$v \mapsto [v, w] \quad (6.2)$$

are continuous.

(2) The partial majorant is called admissible if every linear function from $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathbb{H}$ continuous with respect to it is of the form $v \mapsto [v, w_0]$ for some $w_0 \in \mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 6.4. The weak topology of an inner product space is a partial majorant. Any other locally convex topology is a partial majorant if and only if it is stronger than the weak topology.

Proof. To prove the first assertion, we have to show that in the weak topology the maps (6.2) are continuous. For any choice of $\varepsilon > 0$, and for any $v_0, w \in \mathcal{V}$ the inequality $|[v, w] - [v_0, w]| < \varepsilon$ is equivalent to $p_w(v - v_0) < \varepsilon$ which is a neighborhood $U_{v_0}(p_w, \varepsilon)$ of v_0 .

Let us consider another locally convex topology stronger than the weak topology. Then we have already shown that the inequality $|[v, w] - [v_0, w]| < \varepsilon$ holds for $v \in U_{v_0}(p_w, \varepsilon)$ which is also an open set in the stronger topology. Finally, we consider a partial majorant. Let $v_0, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathcal{V}$, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, by definition, there are neighborhoods U_ℓ of w_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ such that for any $w \in U_\ell$ the inequality $|[v, w_\ell] - [v_0, w_\ell]| < \varepsilon$, i.e. $p_{w_\ell}(v - v_0) < \varepsilon$ holds. Thus any w which belongs to the neighborhood of v_0 given by $\cap_{\ell=1}^n U_\ell$ belongs to $U_{v_0}(p_{w_\ell}, \varepsilon)$ and the statement follows. $\square$

As a consequence we have:

Corollary 6.5. Every partial majorant of a non-degenerate inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ is Hausdorff.

Proof. Recall that any open set in the weak topology is also open in the partial majorant topology. The weak topology is Hausdorff if it separates points, i.e. if and only if for every $w \in \mathcal{V}$ the condition $p_w(v) = |[v, w]| = 0$ implies $v = 0$. But this is indeed the case since $\mathcal{V}$ is non-degenerate. $\square$

Proposition 6.6. If a topology is a partial majorant of the quaternionic inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ then the orthogonal companion of every subspace is closed.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ and let $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ its orthogonal companion. We show that $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$ is an open set. Let v_0 be in the complement $(\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]})^c$ of $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$; then there is $w \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $[v_0, w] \neq 0$. By continuity, there exists a neighborhood U of v_0 such that $[v, v_0] \neq 0$ for all $v \in U$, thus $(\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]})^c$ is open. $\square$

Corollary 6.7. If a topology is a partial majorant of a non-degenerate inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ then every ortho-complemented subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ is closed.

Proof. Consider the subspace $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp]}$, orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]}$ is closed by Proposition 6.6 and since $\mathcal{L}^{[\perp\perp]} = \mathcal{L}$ by Proposition 4.7 the assertion follows. $\square$

Corollary 6.8. Let τ be a partial majorant of the quaternionic inner product V and assume that V is non-degenerate. Then the components of any fundamental decompositions are closed with respect to τ .

Proof. This is a consequence of the previous corollary, since the two components are orthocomplemented. $\square$

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a non-degenerate quaternionic inner product space and let τ_1 and τ_2 be two Fréchet partial majorants of $\mathcal{V}$. Then, $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.*

Proof. Let τ be the topology $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$. Then we can show following the proof of Theorem 3.3. p. 63 in [10] that τ is a Fréchet topology stronger than τ_1 and τ_2 . We now consider the two topological vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$ endowed with τ and $\mathcal{V}$ endowed with τ_1 and the identity map acting between them. By the Closed graph theorem, see Theorem 3.9, we have that the identity map takes closed sets to closed sets and so τ_1 is stronger than τ . A similar argument holds by considering τ_2 and thus $\tau = \tau_1 = \tau_2$. $\square$

Assume now that a partial majorant τ is defined by a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a non-degenerate inner product space $\mathcal{V}$. Let us define

$$\|v\|' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} |[v, w]|, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (6.3)$$

Then $\|\cdot\|'$ is a norm (called *polar* of the norm $\|\cdot\|$), as it can be directly verified. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1 the fact that the modulus is multiplicative in $\mathbb{H}$ is what matters. The topology τ' induced by $\|\cdot\|'$ is called the polar of the topology τ .

The definition (6.3) implies

$$|[v, w/\|w\|]| \leq \sup_{w \in \mathcal{V}} |[v, w/\|w\|]| \leq \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} |[v, w]| = \|v\|', \quad (6.4)$$

from which we deduce the inequality $|[v, w]| \leq \|v\|' \|w\|$. Thus the polar of a partial majorant is a partial majorant since (6.2) holds and thus one can define $\tau'' \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} (\tau')'$ and so on, iteratively.

Proposition 6.10. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a non-degenerate inner product space.*

- (a) *If τ_1 and τ_2 are normed partial majorants of $\mathcal{V}$ τ_1 is weaker than τ_2 then τ_2' is weaker than τ_1' .*
- (b) *If τ be a normed partial majorant of $\mathcal{V}$, then inner product space $\mathcal{V}$. its polar τ' is a normed partial majorant on $\mathcal{V}$. Furthermore, $\tau'' \leq \tau$, and $\tau''' = \tau'$.*

Proof. Let τ_1, τ_2 be induced by the norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$, respectively and let us assume that $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. Then for $w \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda \|w\|_2 \leq \|w\|_1$ and so, if we take $\|w\|_1 \leq 1$ we have

$$\sup_{\|w\|_1 \leq 1} |[v, w]| \leq \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq 1} |[v, \lambda w]| = \lambda \sup_{\|w\|_2 \leq 1} |[v, w]|,$$

so that $\tau_2' \leq \tau_1'$.

Moreover we have $\sup_{\|y\|' \leq 1} |[x, y]| \leq \|x\|$ and so $\tau'' \leq \tau$. Let us now use this inequality by replacing τ by τ' and we get $\tau''' \leq \tau'$. By using point (a) applied to $\tau_1 = \tau''$ and $\tau_2 = \tau$ we obtain the reverse inequality and so $\tau''' = \tau'$. $\square$

Among the partial majorants there are the admissible topology (see Definition 6.3). The next result shows that an admissible topology which is metrizable is uniquely defined. In order to prove the result, we recall that given a quaternionic vector space $\mathcal{V}$, its conjugate $\mathcal{V}^*$ is defined to be the quaternionic vector space in which the additive

group coincides with $\mathcal{V}$ and whose product by a scalar is given by $(c, v) \mapsto v\bar{c}$. An inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ in $\mathcal{V}^*$ can be assigned by $(v, w) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [w, v] = \overline{[v, w]}$.

Theorem 6.11. *Let τ_1, τ be admissible topologies on a quaternionic inner product space $\mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ_1 is given by a countable family of semi-norms, then τ_1 is stronger than τ and the admissible topology of $\mathcal{V}$ which is also metrizable is unique.*

Proof. Assume that τ_1 and τ are given by the families of semi-norms $\{p_i\}, i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{q_\gamma\}, \gamma \in \Gamma$, respectively. By absurd, suppose that τ_1 is not stronger than τ . Then there exists an open set in τ that does not contain any open set in τ_1 and, in particular, it does not contain

$$\{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid p_i(v) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Thus, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $p_i(v_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ but $\max_{k=1, \dots, m} q_{\gamma_k}(v_n) = q_{\gamma_j}(v_n) \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. By choosing $w_n = nv_n$ we have

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} p_i(w_n) < 1, \quad q_{\gamma_j}(w_n) \geq n\varepsilon, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6.5)$$

Let us consider the subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ given by $\mathcal{L} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid q_{\gamma_j}(v) = 0\}$ and the quotient $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}$. We can endow $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ with the norm $\|\hat{v}\| \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} q_{\gamma_j}(v)$, for $\hat{v} = v + \mathcal{L} \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $\hat{\varphi} : \hat{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a linear function which is also continuous (bounded):

$$|\hat{\varphi}(\hat{v})| \leq \|\hat{\varphi}\| \|\hat{v}\|, \quad \hat{v} \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Then the formula $\varphi(v) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \hat{\varphi}(\hat{v}), v \in \mathcal{V}, v \in \hat{v}$, defines a linear and continuous function on $\mathcal{V}$ since

$$|\varphi(v)| \leq \|\hat{\varphi}\| \|\hat{v}\| = \|\hat{\varphi}\| q_{\gamma_j}(v).$$

Thus φ is continuous in the topology τ and since τ is admissible, $\varphi(v) = [v, w_0]$ for some suitable $w_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. We conclude that φ is also continuous in the topology τ_1 . So for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ we have

$$|\varphi(v)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \max_{i=1, \dots, r} p_i(v), \quad v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

This last inequality together with (6.5) give $|\varphi(w_n)| < 1/\delta$ for $n > r$. So the sequence $\{\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_n)\}$ is bounded for any $\hat{\varphi}$ fixed in the conjugate space $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^*$ of the normed space $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$. However, we can look at $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{w}_n)$ as the value of the functional $\hat{w}_n$ acting on the elements of the Banach space $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^*$. Since we required that $|\hat{\varphi}(\hat{v})| \leq \|\hat{\varphi}\| \|\hat{v}\|$, for $\hat{v} \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}$ the functional $\hat{w}_n$ is continuous. By the quaternionic version of the Hahn-Banach theorem, see e.g. [14, Theorem 4.10.1], we deduce that $\|\hat{w}_n\| = q_{\gamma_j}(\hat{w}_n)$. From (6.5), more precisely from $q_{\gamma_j}(w_n) \geq n\varepsilon$, we obtain a contradiction with the Principle of uniform boundedness, see Theorem 3.2. $\square$

7. MAJORANT TOPOLOGIES AND INNER PRODUCT SPACES

The material in this section can be found, in the complex case, in [10, Chapter IV].

Definition 7.1. *A locally convex topology on $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called a majorant if the inner product is jointly continuous in this topology. It is called a complete majorant if it is metrizable and complete. It is called a normed majorant if it is defined by a single (semi) norm, and a Banach majorant if it is moreover complete with respect to this*

norm. It is called a Hilbert majorant if it is a complete normed majorant, and the underlying norm is defined by an inner product.

Of course, the norm defining a Banach majorant (and hence the inner product defining a Hilbert majorant) is not unique. But it follows from Theorem 3.4 that any two such norms are equivalent.

Proposition 7.2.

- (a) *Given a majorant, there exists a weaker majorant defined by a single semi-norm.*
- (b) *A normed partial majorant τ on the non-degenerate inner product space $\mathcal{V}$ is a majorant if and only if it is weaker than its polar: $\tau' \leq \tau$.*

Proof. (a) From the definition of a majorant, there exist semi-norms $p_1, \dots, p_N$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|[u, v]| \leq 1, \quad \forall u, v \in U,$$

where

$$U = \{v \in \mathcal{V}; p_j(v) \leq \epsilon, j = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

It follows that the inner product is jointly continuous with respect to the semi-norm $\max_{j=1, \dots, N} p_j$.

- (b) Recall that the polar τ' is defined by (6.3). We have $\tau' \leq \tau$ if and only if the identity map from $(\mathcal{V}, \tau)$ into $(\mathcal{V}, \tau')$ is continuous, that is if and only if there exists $k > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|' \leq k\|v\|, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (7.1)$$

This in turn holds if and only if

$$|[v, u]| \leq k\|v\|, \quad \forall v, u \in \mathcal{V} \quad \text{with} \quad \|u\| \leq 1. \quad (7.2)$$

The result follows since any such $w \neq 0$ is such that $\|w\| \leq 1$ if and only if it be written as $\frac{w}{\|w\|}$, for some $w \neq 0 \in \mathcal{V}$. $\square$

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a non-degenerate inner product space, admitting a normed majorant. Then, there exists a weaker majorant, which is self-polar.*

Proof. We briefly recall the proof of [10, p. 85]. The key is that the polar norm (defined in (6.3)) is still a norm in the quaternionic case. By maybe renormalizing we assume that

$$|[u, v]| \leq \|u\|\|v\|, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (7.3)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes a norm defining majorant. Define a sequence of norms $(\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $\|\cdot\|_1 = \|\cdot\|$ and

$$\|u\|_{n+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_n^2 + (\|u\|'_n)^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (7.4)$$

where we recall that $\|\cdot\|'$ denotes the polar norm of $\|\cdot\|$; see (6.3). An induction shows that each $\|\cdot\|_n$ satisfies (7.3) and that the sequence $(\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing, and thus defining a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\cdot\|_n$. One readily shows that $\|\cdot\|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|\cdot\|'_1$, and hence $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a norm, and a majorant since it also satisfies (7.3) by passing to the limit the corresponding inequality for $\|\cdot\|_n$.

We now show that the topology defined by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is self-polar. We first note that the sequence of polars $(\|\cdot\|'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing, and bounded by the polar $\|\cdot\|'_\infty$. Set $\|\cdot\|_e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\cdot\|'_n$. Applying inequality (6.4) to $\|\cdot\|_n$ and taking limits leads to

$$|[u, v]| \leq \|u\|_e \|v\|_\infty, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Thus $\|\cdot\|'_\infty \leq \|\cdot\|_e$, and we get that $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \|\cdot\|_e$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (7.4) we get $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \|\cdot\|'_\infty$. $\square$

Proposition 7.4. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a quaternionic non-degenerate inner product space. Then a partial majorant is a minimal majorant if and only if it is normed and self-polar.*

Proof. Assume first that the given partial majorant τ is a minimal majorant. By item (a) of Proposition 7.2 there is a weaker majorant τ_a defined by a single semi-norm. Moreover by Corollary 6.5 any partial majorant (and in particular any majorant) is Hausdorff, and so the τ_a is Hausdorff and the above semi-norm is in fact a norm. By Proposition 7.3 there exists a self-polar majorant τ_∞ which is weaker than τ_1 . The minimality of τ implies that $\tau_\infty = \tau$.

Conversely, assume that the given partial majorant τ is normed and self-polar. Then τ is a majorant in view of item (b) of Proposition 7.2. Assume that $\tau_a \leq \tau$ is another majorant. Then, by part (b) in Lemma 7.2, $\tau_a \geq \tau'_a$, and by item (a) of Proposition 6.10 we have $\tau'_a \geq \tau'$. This ends the proof since τ is self-polar. $\square$

Theorem 7.5. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic non-degenerate inner product space, and let τ be an admissible topology which is moreover a majorant. Then, τ is minimal, defines a Banach topology and is the unique admissible majorant on $\mathcal{V}$. Finally, τ is stronger than any admissible topology on $\mathcal{V}$.*

We now introduce the Gram operator. It will play an important role in the sequel. Recall that Hilbert majorants have been defined in Definition 7.1.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a quaternionic inner-product space, admitting an Hilbert majorant, with associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$. There exists a linear continuous operator G , self-adjoint with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and such that*

$$[v, w] = \langle v, Gw \rangle, \quad v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof. The existence of G follows from Riesz' representation for continuous functionals, which still holds in quaternionic Hilbert spaces (see [13, p. 36], [18, Theorem II.1, p. 440]); the fact that G is Hermitian follows from the fact that the form $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is Hermitian. In the complex case, an everywhere defined Hermitian operator in a Hilbert space is automatically bounded; rather than proving the counterpart of this fact in the quaternionic setting we note, as in [10, p. 88] that there exists a constant k such that

$$|[u, w]| \leq k \|u\| \cdot \|v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (7.5)$$

The boundedness of G follows from (7.5) and $[v, Gv] = \|Gv\|^2$. $\square$

The semi-norm

$$v \mapsto \|Gv\| \quad (7.6)$$

defines a topology called the Mackey topology. As we remarked after Definition 7.1 the inner product defining a given Hilbert majorant is not unique, and so to every inner product will correspond a different Gram operator.

Proposition 7.7. *The Mackey topology is admissible and is independent of the choice of the inner product defining the Hilbert majorant.*

Proof. The uniqueness will follow from Theorem 6.11 once we know that the topology, say τ_G , associated to the semi-norm (7.6) is admissible. From the inequality

$$|[u, v]| = \langle Gu, v \rangle \leq \|Gu\| \cdot \|v\|$$

we see that τ_G is a partial majorant. To show that it is admissible, consider a linear functional f continuous with respect to τ_G . There exists $k > 0$ such that

$$|f(u)| \leq k\|Gu\|, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Thus the linear relation

$$(kGu, f(u)), \quad u \in \mathcal{V}$$

is the graph of a contraction, say T ,

$$T(Gu) = \frac{1}{k}f(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V},$$

in the pre-Hilbert space $(\text{Ran } G) \times \mathbb{H}$, the latter being endowed with the inner product

$$\langle (Gu, p), (Gv, q) \rangle_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{H}} = \langle Gu, Gv \rangle + \bar{q}p = [Gu, v] + \bar{q}p.$$

The operator T admits a contractive extension to all of $\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{H}$, and by Riesz representation theorem, there exists $f_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$T(u) = \langle u, f_0 \rangle, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Thus

$$f(u) = kT(Gu) = k\langle Gu, f_0 \rangle = [u, kf_0],$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

Consider a subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of a quaternionic inner-product space $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, the latter admitting an Hilbert majorant with associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and associated norm $\|\cdot\|$. We denote by $P_{\mathcal{L}}$ the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{L}$ in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, and set

$$G_{\mathcal{L}} = P_{\mathcal{L}}G|_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{7.7}$$

Proposition 7.8. *Consider $\mathcal{V}$ be a quaternionic inner-product space, admitting an Hilbert majorant, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ and let $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ be defined by (7.7). Then:*

(a) *An element $v \in \mathcal{V}$ admits a projection onto $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if*

$$P_{\mathcal{L}}v \in \text{ran } G_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{7.8}$$

(b) *$\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented in $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ if and only if*

$$\text{ran } P_{\mathcal{L}}G = \text{ran } G_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Proof. (a) The vector $v \in V$ has a (not necessarily unique) projection, say w on $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if

$$[v - w, u] = 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{L},$$

that is, if and only if

$$\langle G(v - w), u \rangle = 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{L}.$$

This last condition is equivalent to $P_{\mathcal{L}}Gv = G_{\mathcal{L}}w$, which is equivalent to (7.8).

(b) The second claim is equivalent to the fact that every element admits a projection on $\mathcal{L}$, and therefore follows from (a). $\square$

8. THE SPECTRAL THEOREM AND DECOMPOSABILITY

The spectral theorem for Hermitian operators is stated in [17], [26], [27] in which, however, a proof is not provided. Moreover, in these works, the spectrum used is not the S -spectrum, thus for the sake of completeness we state and prove the result. To this end, we need some preliminaries.

We first note that any linear quaternionic Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}$ can be also considered as a complex Hilbert space, its so-called symplectic image denoted by $\mathcal{V}_s$, which coincides with $\mathcal{V}$ as Abelian additive group and whose multiplication by a scalar is the multiplication given in $\mathcal{V}$ restricted to $\mathbb{C}$. Note that, we will identify $\mathbb{C}$ with the set of quaternions of the form $x_0 + ix_1$. Any linear operator T on $\mathcal{V}$ is obviously also $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and so it is a linear operator on $\mathcal{V}_s$. We denote by T_s the operator T when it acts on $\mathcal{V}_s$. The converse is not true, i.e. if S is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator acting on $\mathcal{V}_s$ then S is not, in general, a linear operator on $\mathcal{V}$, unless additional hypothesis are given. It is immediate to verify that if T is Hermitian then T_s is Hermitian (see also [26]).

We now state the spectral theorem:

Theorem 8.1. *Let A be a Hermitian operator on the quaternionic Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}$. Then, there exists a spectral measure E defined on the Borel sets in $\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda). \quad (8.1)$$

Proof. We observe that if A is a Hermitian linear operator, then its S -spectrum is real. Then we consider the symplectic image $\mathcal{V}_s$ of $\mathcal{V}$ and the operator A_s which is Hermitian and whose (real) spectrum coincide with the spectrum of A . Then we can use the classical spectral theorem to write

$$A_s = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dE_s(\lambda)$$

where $dE_s(\lambda)$ is a spectral measure with values in the lattice of projections in $\mathcal{V}_s$. Since the support of E is contained in $\mathbb{R}$, we use Corollary 6.1 in [26] to guarantee that E is a spectral measure with values in the lattice of projections in $\mathcal{V}$. This concludes the proof since A_s is in fact A . $\square$

Theorem 8.2. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a quaternionic inner-product space, admitting a Hilbert majorant. Then, $\mathcal{V}$ is decomposable, and there exists a fundamental decomposition such that all three components and any sum of two of them are complete with respect to the Hilbert majorant.*

Proof. As in the proof of the corresponding result in the complex case (see [10, p. 89]) we apply the spectral theorem to the Gram operator G associated to the form $[\cdot, \cdot]$, and write G as (8.1):

$$G = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where the spectral measure is continuous and its support is finite since G is bounded. We then set

$$\mathcal{V}_- = E(0^-)\mathcal{V}, \quad \mathcal{V}_0 = (E(0) - E(0^-))\mathcal{V}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_+ = (I - E(0))\mathcal{V}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_-[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_0[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_+.$$

Each of the components and each sum of pairs of components of this decomposition is an orthogonal companion, and therefore closed for the Hilbert majorant in view of Proposition 6.6. $\square$

In the next result, the space is non-degenerate, but the majorant is a Banach majorant rather than a Hilbert majorant.

Proposition 8.3. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a quaternionic non-degenerate inner-product space, admitting a Banach majorant τ and a decomposition majorant τ_1 . Then, $\tau_1 \leq \tau$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-$ be a fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{V}$. By Corollary 6.8 the space $\mathcal{V}_+$ is closed in the topology τ . Let P_+ denote the map

$$P_+v = v_+ \tag{8.2}$$

where $v = v_+ + v_-$ is the decomposition of $v \in \mathcal{V}$ along the given fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{V}$. We claim that the graph of P_+ is closed, when $\mathcal{V}$ is endowed with the topology τ . Indeed, if $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence converging (in the topology τ) to $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and such that the sequence $((v_n)_+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $z \in \mathcal{V}_+$ also in the topology τ . Since the inner product is continuous with respect to τ we have for $w \in \mathcal{V}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} [z - v_+, w] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(v_n)_+, w] - [v_+, w] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [v_n, w] - [v_+, w] = [v, w] - [v_+, w] = [v - v_+, w] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $z = v_+$. By the closed graph theorem (see Theorem 3.9) P_+ is continuous. The same holds for the operator $P_-v = v_-$ and so the operator

$$Jv = v_+ - v_- \tag{8.3}$$

is continuous from $(\mathcal{V}, \tau)$ onto $(\mathcal{V}, \tau)$. Recall now that $[Jv, v]$ is the square of the J -norm defining τ_1 . We have

$$[Jv, v] \leq k \|Jv\| \cdot \|v\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes a norm defining τ , and

$$[Jv, v] \leq k \|Jv\| \cdot \|v\| \leq k_1 \|v\|^2$$

since J is continuous. It follows that the inclusion map is continuous from $(\mathcal{V}, \tau)$ into $(\mathcal{V}, \tau_1)$, and so $\tau_1 \leq \tau$. $\square$

Proposition 8.4. *Every decomposition majorant is a minimal majorant.*

Proof. A decomposition majorant is in particular a partial majorant and is normed (with associated J -norm $\|u\|_J = [Ju, u]$, where J is associated to the decomposition as in (8.3)). Thus, using Proposition 7.4, to prove the minimality it is enough to show that $\|u\|_J$ is self-polar. That this holds follows from

$$\|u\|'_J = \sup_{\|v\|_J \leq 1} |[Ju, v]| = \sup_{\|v\|_J \leq 1} |[u, Jv]| = \|u\|_J.$$

$\square$

The question of uniqueness of a minimal majorant is considered in the next proposition.

Proposition 8.5. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a quaternionic inner-product space, admitting a decomposition*

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-, \quad (8.4)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_+$ is positive definite and $\mathcal{V}_-$ is negative definite. Assume that $\mathcal{V}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_-$) is intrinsically complete. Then, so is $\mathcal{V}_-$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_+$). Then $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ has a unique minimal majorant.

Proof. The topology τ defines a fundamental decomposition, and an associated minimal majorant $\|\cdot\|_J$. See Proposition 8.4. Let τ be another minimal majorant. By Proposition 7.4 it is normed and self-polar and so there is a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|v_+\| \leq k_1 \sup_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{V}_+ \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} |[v_+, y]|.$$

Using the uniform boundedness we find $k_2 > 0$ such that

$$|[v_+, y]| \leq k_2 [v_+, v_+], \quad \forall y \text{ such that } \|y\| \leq 1.$$

Hence, with $C = k_1 k_2$,

$$\|v_+\| \leq C[v_+, v_+], \quad \forall v_+ \in \mathcal{V}_+. \quad (8.5)$$

Let now $v \in \mathcal{V}$ with decomposition $v = v_+ + v_-$, where $v_{\pm} \in \mathcal{V}_{\pm}$. Since τ is a normed majorant, there exists C_1 such that

$$\|v_+\| 2 \leq C[v_+, v_+] = C[v_+, v] \leq C C_1 \|v_+\| \cdot \|v\|$$

Hence

$$\|v\|_J^2 = [Jv, v] \leq C_1 \|Jv\| \cdot \|v\| = C_1 \|2v_+ - v\| \cdot K \|v\|^2$$

for an appropriate $K > 0$. The identity map is there continuous from $(\mathcal{V}, \tau)$ onto $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_J)$. Since τ is defined by a single norm, it follows that the identity map is also continuous from $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_J)$ onto $(\mathcal{V}, \tau)$ and this ends the proof. $\square$

Proposition 8.6. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a quaternionic inner-product space, admitting a decomposition of the form (8.4), and with associated fundamental symmetry J . Then:*

(a) *Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote a positive subspace of $\mathcal{V}$. Then, the operator $P_+|_{\mathcal{L}}$ and its inverse are τ_J continuous.*

(b) *Given another decomposition of the form (8.4), the positive (resp. negative) components are simultaneously intrinsically complete.*

Proof. To prove the result we follow [10, pp. 93-94]. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a positive subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ and let $v \in \mathcal{L}$. By recalling (5.5), (8.2) and setting $P_- v = v_-$, where $v = v_+ + v_-$ is the decomposition of v with respect to the fundamental decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-$, we have:

$$\|v\|_J^2 = \|P_+ v\|_J^2 + \|P_- v\|_J^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{V}_+$ and $\mathcal{V}_-$ are J -orthogonal, see Remark 5.5, we then have

$$[v, v] = \|P_+ v\|_J^2 - \|P_- v\|_J^2$$

and so, since $\mathcal{L}$ is positive,

$$\|v\|_J^2 = 2\|P_+ v\|_J^2 - [v, v] \leq 2\|P_+ v\|_J^2.$$

It is immediate that $\|P_+ v\|_J^2 \leq \|v\|_J^2$ and so we conclude that both P_+ and its inverse are τ_J continuous as stated in (a).

To show (b), we assume that there is another fundamental decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}'_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}'_-$. If we suppose that $\mathcal{V}'_+$ is intrinsically complete, then Proposition 8.5 implies that $\mathcal{V}'_+$ is complete with respect to the decomposition majorant corresponding to the decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{V}_-$. Part (a) of the statement implies that also $P^+\mathcal{V}'_+$ is complete in this topology and so it is intrinsically complete. If $P^+\mathcal{V}'_+ = \mathcal{V}_+$ there is nothing to prove. Otherwise there exists a non-zero $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{V}_+$ orthogonal to $P^+\mathcal{V}'_+$ so $\tilde{v}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{V}'_+$. Then the subspace $\mathcal{U}$ spanned by $\tilde{v}$ and $\mathcal{V}'_+$ is positive. Indeed, for a generic nonzero element $u = \tilde{v} + \tilde{v}'$ ($\tilde{v}' \in \mathcal{V}'_+$) we have

$$[u, u] = [\tilde{v} + \tilde{v}', \tilde{v} + \tilde{v}'] = [\tilde{v}, \tilde{v}] + [\tilde{v}', \tilde{v}'] > 0.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{U}$ is a proper extension of $\mathcal{V}'_+$ which is absurd by Proposition 5.3. This completes the proof. $\square$

9. QUATERNIONIC KREIN SPACES

In this section we will study quaternionic Krein spaces following [10, Chapter V]. As in the classical case, they are characterized by the fact that they are inner product spaces non-degenerate, decomposable and complete. We will show that the scalar product associated to the decomposition gives a norm, and so a topology, which does not depend on the chosen decomposition. We will also study ortho-complemented subspaces of a Krein space and we will prove that they are closed subspaces which are Krein spaces themselves.

Definition 9.1. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a quaternionic inner product space whose fundamental decomposition is*

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_+[\oplus]\mathcal{K}_-, \quad (9.1)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_+$ is a strictly positive subspace while $\mathcal{K}_-$ is strictly negative. If $\mathcal{K}_+$ and $\mathcal{K}_-$ are intrinsically complete then we say that $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space.

The decomposition of a Krein space is obviously not unique when one of the components is not trivial. Both the spaces $\mathcal{K}_+$ and $\mathcal{K}_-$ are Hilbert spaces and they can be, in particular, of finite dimension. The Krein space is then called a Pontryagin space (usually when $\mathcal{V}_-$ is finite dimensional).

Proposition 9.2. *A Krein space is non-degenerate and decomposable, and $\mathcal{K}^\pm$ are intrinsically complete. Every other decomposition of $\mathcal{K}$ is of the form (9.1).*

Proof. A Krein space is obviously decomposable by its definition and non-degenerate by Proposition 5.1.

By Theorem 8.6 (b), given (9.1) and any other fundamental decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}'_+[\oplus]\mathcal{K}'_-$ if $\mathcal{K}_+$ is intrinsically complete so is $\mathcal{K}'_+$ (and similarly for $\mathcal{K}'_-$). $\square$

Proposition 9.3. *A non-degenerate, decomposable, quaternionic inner product space $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space if and only if for every associated fundamental symmetry J , $\mathcal{K}$ endowed with the inner product $\langle v, w \rangle_J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [v, Jw]$ is a Hilbert space.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a non-degenerate, decomposable, quaternionic inner product space, i.e. $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}'_+[\oplus]\mathcal{K}'_-$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space then the associated fundamental symmetry $J = P^+ - P^-$ makes it into a pre-Hilbert space, see Theorem 5.4. Completeness follows from the fact that $\mathcal{K}_\pm$ are both complete. Conversely, assume that given a

fundamental symmetry J the inner product $\langle v, w \rangle_J \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [v, Jw]$ makes $\mathcal{K}$ a Hilbert space. The intrinsic norm in $\mathcal{K}_+$ is obtained by restricting the J -inner product to $\mathcal{K}_+$. Any Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{K}_+$ converges to an element in $\mathcal{K}$ and it is immediate to verify that this element belongs to $\mathcal{K}_+$. $\square$

Theorem 9.4. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a quaternionic vector space with inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space if and only if :*

- (i) $[\cdot, \cdot]$ has a Hilbert majorant τ with associated inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|v\| = \langle v, v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$;
- (ii) the Gram operator such that $[v, w] = \langle v, Gw \rangle$ is completely invertible.

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem V, 1.3 in [10], by repeating the main arguments. Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space and denote by J the fundamental symmetry associated to the chosen decomposition (9.1). Define a norm using the J -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J$ and let τ_J be the corresponding topology which is a decomposition majorant by Proposition 8.5 and a Hilbert majorant. Since

$$[v, w] = [v, J^2 w] = \langle v, Jw \rangle_J,$$

the Gram operator of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J$ is J and J is completely invertible. We now prove part (b) of the statement. By Theorem 6.9 there is only one Hilbert majorant, thus if there are two positive inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ whose associated norm define the Hilbert majorant, then the two norm must be equivalent. Reasoning as in [10], the two Gram operators G_j $j = 1, 2$ of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j$, $j = 1, 2$ are both completely invertible if and only if one of them is so. Since we have previously shown that (b) holds for $G_1 = J$ then (b) holds for any other Gram operator.

Let us show the converse and assume that (a) and (b) hold. Then by Theorem 8.2 $\mathcal{K}$ is decomposable and non-degenerate thus, by Proposition 5.1, it admits a decomposition of the form (9.1). By Proposition 9.3, $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space if for every chosen decomposition the J -inner product makes $\mathcal{K}$ a Hilbert space or, equivalently, if τ_J coincides with τ . First of all we observe that since G is completely invertible, by the closed graph theorem we have that the Mackey topology coincides with τ . By Theorem 7.7 we deduce that τ is an admissible majorant and by Theorem 7.5 τ is also a minimal majorant and so $\tau \leq \tau_J$. However we know from Proposition 8.3 that $\tau_J \leq \tau$ and the conclusion follows. $\square$

Remark 9.5. Proposition 8.5 says that in a Krein space all the decomposition majorants are equivalent, in other words, all the J -norms are equivalent and will be called natural norms on $\mathcal{K}$. They define a Hilbert majorant called the strong topology of $\mathcal{K}$.

As an immediate consequence of the previous theorem we have:

Corollary 9.6. *The strong topology of $\mathcal{K}$ equals the Mackey topology.*

In the sequel we will always consider a Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ endowed with the strong topology $\tau_M(\mathcal{K})$.

Proposition 9.7. *The strong topology $\tau_M(\mathcal{K})$ of the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ is an admissible majorant.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.7 that the Mackey topology is admissible and the fact that it is an admissible majorant is ensured by (5.7). $\square$

components and the sum of any two of them are closed.

Theorem 9.8. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a quaternionic Krein space. A subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is ortho-complemented if and only if it is closed and it is a Krein space itself.*

Proof. We assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented. Then Corollary 6.7 shows that $\mathcal{L}$ is closed. By Theorem 9.4 $\mathcal{K}$ has a Hilbert majorant and thus we can use the condition given in Proposition 7.8 (b) to say whether $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented. To this end, let us denote by $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ the Gram operator defined by $[v, w] = \langle v, G_{\mathcal{L}}w \rangle_J$, for $v, w \in \mathcal{L}$, where J denotes the fundamental symmetry of $\mathcal{K}$ associated with the chosen decomposition. By Theorem 9.4, the Gram operator G is completely invertible and thus, by Proposition 7.8 (b) $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented if and only if $\text{Ran}(G_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{L}$ but, since $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ is J -symmetric, this is equivalent to $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ completely invertible and so, again by Theorem 9.4 to the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is a Krein space. $\square$

Given a definite subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of a Krein space $\mathcal{K}$, it is clear that the intrinsic topology $\tau_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L})$ is weaker than the topology induced by the strong topology $\tau_M(\mathcal{K})$ induces on $\mathcal{L}$. Thus we give the following definition:

Definition 9.9. *A subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of a Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be uniformly positive (resp. negative) if $\mathcal{L}$ is positive definite (resp. negative definite) and $\tau_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L}) = \tau_M(\mathcal{K})|_{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Note that the second condition amounts to require that $\mathcal{L}$ is uniformly positive if $[v, v] \geq c\|v\|_J^2$ for $v \in \mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}$ is uniformly negative if $[v, v] \leq -c\|v\|_J^2$ for $v \in \mathcal{L}$) where c is a positive constant.

Theorem 9.10. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Krein space.*

- (a) *A closed definite subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is intrinsically complete if and only if it is uniformly definite.*
- (b) *A semi-definite subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is ortho-complemented if and only if it is closed and uniformly definite (either positive or negative).*

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that Proposition 9.3 and the Closed graph theorem imply that a closed and definite subspace $\mathcal{L}$ is intrinsically complete if and only if $\tau_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L}) = \tau_M(\mathcal{K})|_{\mathcal{L}}$ i.e. if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is uniformly definite.

By Proposition 9.2 and Theorem 9.8, a subspace $\mathcal{L}$ is ortho-complemented if and only if it is closed, definite and intrinsically complete, i.e. if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is uniformly definite (either positive or negative). This completes the proof. $\square$

As a consequence of the previous two theorems we have the following result, which was the main motivation for the present paper:

Theorem 9.11. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote a quaternionic Krein space, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed uniformly positive subspace of $\mathcal{K}$. Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is a Hilbert space and is ortho-complemented in $\mathcal{K}$: One can write*

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}[\oplus]\mathcal{M}^{[\perp]},$$

and $\mathcal{M}^{[\perp]}$ is a Krein subspace of $\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. The space is a Hilbert space by (a) of the previous theorem. That it is orthocomplemented follows then from Theorem 9.8. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] S.L. Adler. *Quaternionic quantum mechanics and quantum fields*, volume 88 of *International Series of Monographs on Physics*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [2] D. Alpay, V. Bolotnikov, F. Colombo, and I. Sabadini. Interpolation of Schur multipliers for slice hyperholomorphic functions. In preparation.
- [3] D. Alpay, A. C. M. Ran, and L. Rodman. Basic classes of matrices with respect to quaternionic indefinite inner product spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 416(2-3):242–269, 2006.
- [4] D. Alpay and M. Shapiro. Reproducing kernel quaternionic Pontryagin spaces. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 50:431–476, 2004.
- [5] T. Ya. Azizov and I.S. Iohvidov. *Foundations of the theory of linear operators in spaces with indefinite metric*. Nauka, Moscow, 1986. (Russian). English translation: *Linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*. John Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [6] J.A. Ball, V. Bolotnikov, and Q. Fang. Schur-class multipliers on the Arveson space: de Branges-Rovnyak reproducing kernel spaces and commutative transfer-function realizations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341(1):519–539, 2008.
- [7] J.A. Ball and Q. Fang. Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation via graph spaces and Krein-space geometry: a survey. Preprint 2012. To appear in OTAA.
- [8] J.A. Ball and J.W. Helton. Beurling-Lax representations using classical Lie groups with many applications. II. $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and Wiener-Hopf factorization. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 7(3):291–309, 1984.
- [9] J.A. Ball and J.W. Helton. Interpolation theorems of Pick–Nevanlinna and Loewner types for meromorphic matrix functions: parametrisation of the set of all solutions. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 9:155–203, 1986.
- [10] J. Bognár. *Indefinite inner product spaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 78. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [11] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitres 1 à 3*. Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [12] N. Bourbaki. *Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chapitres 1 à 5*. Masson, Paris, new edition, 1981. *Éléments de mathématique*. [Elements of mathematics].
- [13] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, and F. Sommen. *Clifford analysis*, volume 76. Pitman research notes, 1982.
- [14] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D.C. Struppa. *Noncommutative functional calculus. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions*, volume 289 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions.
- [15] M.A. Dritschel and J. Rovnyak. Operators on indefinite inner product spaces. In P. Lancaster, editor, *Lectures on operator theory and its applications (Waterloo, ON, 1994)*, volume 3 of *Fields Inst. Monogr.*, pages 141–232. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [16] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz. *Linear operators*, volume 1. Interscience, 1957.
- [17] D. Finkelstein, J.M. Jauch, S. Schiminovich, and D. Speiser. Foundations of quaternion quantum mechanics. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 3:207–220, 1962.
- [18] L. P. Horwitz and L. C. Biedenharn. Quaternion quantum mechanics: second quantization and gauge fields. *Ann. Physics*, 157(2):432–488, 1984.
- [19] I.S. Iohvidov, M.G. Kreĭn, and H. Langer. *Introduction to the spectral theory of operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*. Akademie–Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [20] M. Karow. Self-adjoint operators and pairs of Hermitian forms over the quaternions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 299(1-3):101–117, 1999.
- [21] L. Rodman. Pairs of Hermitian and skew-Hermitian quaternionic matrices: canonical forms and their applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 429(5-6):981–1019, 2008.

- [22] L. Rodman. Stability of Invariant Subspaces of Quaternion Matrices. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 6(5):1069–1119, 2012.
- [23] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill international editions, 1991.
- [24] L. Schwartz. Sous espaces hilbertiens d’espaces vectoriels topologiques et noyaux associés (noyaux reproduisants). *J. Analyse Math.*, 13:115–256, 1964.
- [25] V. Sergeichuk. Canonical matrices of isometric operators on indefinite inner product spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428(1):154–192, 2008.
- [26] K. Viswanath. *Contributions to linear quaternionic analysis*. PhD thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, India, 1968.
- [27] K. Viswanath. Normal operators on quaternionic Hilbert spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 162:337–350, 1971.
- [28] F. Zhang. Quaternions and matrices of quaternions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 251:21–57, 1997.

(DA) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105 ISRAEL

E-mail address: dany@math.bgu.ac.il

(VB) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY, P.O.Box 8795, WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA 23187-8795, USA

E-mail address: vladi@math.wm.edu

(FC) POLITECNICO DI MILANO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. BONARDI, 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: fabrizio.colombo@polimi.it

(IS) POLITECNICO DI MILANO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. BONARDI, 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: irene.sabadini@polimi.it